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Abstract
This article constructs an understanding of the Brazilian middle classes using economic, sociological, and cultural factors. It argues that the so-called “new middle class” is actually an expanded vulnerable class and that the middle classes are simultaneously conscious and in denial of injustice toward the lower classes. The argument is based on an accidental biographical ethnography: re-interpretation of a field journal and the use of one person to understand broader trends. The resulting textual product juxtaposes biographical passages with theoretical analysis, deviating from traditional article structures to share the voice of the biographical participant while also critically examining the implications of this voice.

Eduardo grew up in a small city in Brazil, part of an economically vulnerable family, but steeped in traditional middle class beliefs and desires. He resented the injustice of class hierarchies and worked to create economic and political opportunities for himself and other vulnerable and poor Brazilians. As his economic position stabilized under the Lula presidency, and then as Brazil entered a recession, he grew critical of progressive politics. The demands he once supported and the protests he once joined came to strike him as a destruction of the social fabric by “those people” – members of the lower classes whom Eduardo now considered ill-equipped to occupy the spaces of the educated and cultured.

Eduardo’s experiences and perspectives illustrate the position of the traditional middle classes in Brazilian society and vis-à-vis class changes over the past several decades. Brazil is historically a highly unequal and hierarchical society, but in 2002 a cross-class alliance of voters elected a former metal worker, Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva, to the presidency with the hope of change. Under Lula’s Partido dos Trabalhadores (Workers’ Party, PT), innovative fiscal, social,
and developmental policies, alongside high commodity exports, resulted in economic growth and enabled over 40 million people to move out of poverty. The upswing was followed by an equally dramatic downturn. The PT became mired in corruption, inability to sort out public mismanagement, and a crashing economy; a “new right” mobilized, the oligopolistic media went on the offensive, and the public resoundingly voted the PT out in the 2018 presidential elections (see Saad-Filho and Boito 2016).

This article uses Eduardo’s story as a window on the tensions between the traditional middle and the so-called “new middle” classes through an accidental biographical ethnography. The method is accidental because we re-interpreted an existing field journal and biographic ethnography because we use one person’s story to understand broader trends. The textual product of this analysis deviates from traditional social science article structures because we intersperse biographical passages with theoretical analysis. By juxtaposing Eduardo’s perspective of socio-political events with our own analysis, we aim to share his voice and to critically examine its implications.

The article begins with a methods discussion. Then, the first biographical passage traces Eduardo’s history, economic vulnerabilities, and mélange of leftist activism, disdain for poverty, and denial of racism, until the moment he lands a job in Lula’s federal bureaucracy. It is followed by an analysis combining economic, sociological, and cultural indicators to construct an understanding of the Brazilian traditional and new middle classes and recent changes in class mobility. This suggests that Lula’s newly non-poor did not form a “new middle class”, but rather expanded a vulnerable class between the poor and traditional middle classes. The second biographical passage details Eduardo’s turn against Lulismo. The corresponding analytical section embeds this shift in the historical tensions between the traditional middle and lower classes and the disjunctures of Brazilian democracy. In the final biographical passage, Eduardo contemplates the vulnerable demanding access to spaces traditionally reserved for the traditional middle classes. The related analytical section argues that discriminatory tendencies restrict the openness of the middle classes to class mobility. In sum, in tracing Eduardo’s trajectory, we attempt to understand who the traditional middle classes are and argue that their position vis-à-vis the lower classes is complex: their consciousness/denial of inequality and injustice is central to the construction of Brazilian society.

**Methods: Accidental Biographic Ethnography**

In 2015, Hilgers hired a white Brazilian man who had just finished a year of doctoral studies at an American university for a research project, tasking him with keeping a field journal of interviews and observations in a Brazilian favela. Later re-reading the journal, she realized that the personal anecdotes about politics and society interspersing the RA’s notes were interesting for their commentary on Brazilian class relations. The RA is Eduardo and the present study is an accidental biographic ethnography.

According to Poulos (2016), accidental ethnography is a habitual poking around, seeking knowledge through systematically keeping one’s eyes open, taking notes, questioning, and
looking for how things fit together in a story that interprets life. The autoethnographic nature of Poulos’ work makes the constant note-taking his methodological focus, but his insights also apply to other approaches. Researchers in the field can “turn ‘non-data’ into data by paying systematic attention to unplanned or ‘accidental’ moments” (Fujii 2015, 526). Targeted data collection is surrounded by the daily life that shapes the researcher’s contextual understanding. Taking note of time spent “navigating a social environment” and analysing these lived moments alongside the focused research generates stronger theory (Fujii 2015, 527).

While Poulos and Fujii both focus on accidental autoethnography, our approach is accidental biographical ethnography. First, our ethnographic selves have an impact (Coffey 2009) because our interests triggered our response to Eduardo’s reflections. As accidental ethnographers, we are open to exploring such triggers (Poulos 2016). Second, as biographers, we are outsiders studying Eduardo’s fieldnotes and conversing with him to learn his life story and perspectives. Third, we use Eduardo’s story and the socio-political position in which he establishes himself as a view on the Brazilian middle classes. Our primary interest is not Eduardo as an individual, but Eduardo as a member of a particular group of people at a particular moment. For Herzfeld (1997), this is ethnographic biography. It is biography because it deals with the life and ideas of one person, but ethnography because its focus is not the person whose life it recounts but the context whose meaning that person’s experiences help to interpret. Herzfeld uses ethnographic sources to construct the context and the person’s perspective “to overcome the distance between social analysis and lived experience” (1997, 2). In our case, the evidence establishing group characteristics and the moment is built from secondary histories, theories, opinion surveys, and ethnographic fieldnotes from other projects running from 2015 to 2020. We consider the paper an ethnography because our ‘use’ of Eduardo is to explain a phenomenon by viewing society from the subject’s point of view (Geertz 1973). Because we see the method as primarily ethnographic, we inverse Herzfeld’s sequence to biographic ethnography.

The biographic ethnography also structures the “textual product” (Jones and Rodgers 2019: 305-306). In including passages of Eduardo’s history and observations, interspersed with theoretical analyses based on other ethnographic and secondary data, we give the reader unfiltered access to Eduardo’s perspective as well as to “its wider ramifications and how it reflects broader dynamics” (Jones and Rodgers 2019, 305). By “showing rather than telling” (Auyero and Berti 2015, 17), we share Eduardo’s voice, presenting events as he has seen and lived them. Yet, it remains important to contextualize the meaning of ethnographic data. This task is uncomfortable when the resulting analysis is critical of study participants’ behaviour (see Auyero and Berti 2015; Bourgois 2003). While Eduardo interprets the turn away from PT politics as Brazil’s awakening, we see it as the result of a conjuncture of events including the traditional middle classes’ ambivalence vis-à-vis the less well-off. But, rather than presenting only fragments of Eduardo’s thoughts to underscore our arguments, we give the reader the opportunity to understand his perspective. This is “social science…written in a different way” (Gay 2005, xxii).
Data gathering and analysis developed iteratively. After identifying passages reflecting class relations in Eduardo’s journal, we met with him for a long interview (see McCracken 1988) to establish biographical details and encourage him to develop his narrative. In subsequent virtual interviews and emails, we dug into ideas that emerged as our understanding of Eduardo’s situation deepened and as we were writing the paper. Research, analysis, and writing became an interactive process, extending into the article review phase. Eduardo did not participate in the writing of the paper, but he has read drafts and has graciously allowed us to use the material as it is presented here.

Eduardo’s positionality crystallized through the iterative research/writing/review process. It gradually became clear that his economic vulnerability was similar to the fluxes experienced by many people who are neither poor nor secure. While the specificities of Eduardo’s trajectory are unique, the overall pattern fits one of teetering between vulnerability and security. Millions of Brazilians are at the mercy of national economic growth curves and household specific shocks such as a death or the loss of a salaried job, as is the case for those subsisting on the line: between poverty and vulnerability (see Birdsall 2015). Upwards status swings allow for positive changes such as education, but downward swings mean experiences of income, food, and housing insecurity. Eduardo also demonstrates some of the contradictions of Brazilian race/class relations. An educated and politicized white man, he understands class and race as powerful and violent social constructs, but reproduces them. This duality is integral to the traditional middle and privileged classes’ construction of Brazilian society (see Holston 2008; Caldeira 2000).

**Eduardo: Struggling to be in the Middle Classes**

Isobel grew up illiterate in the small city of Derrota, São Paulo, and married Socrates, a bank account manager twice her age who had migrated from the Northeast in rebellion against his wealthy family. His job allowed for a middle class house and contact with the city’s businesspeople, and Isobel volunteered for a samba school. The first of their five children, Maria, attended public school and studied psychology at a private university, having convinced Socrates that psychology was like medicine and, therefore, a route to wealth.

Lucia and Tony Sr. are descendents of Italian immigrants forced into indentured labour on the Derrota area coffee farms. Tony Sr. abandoned agriculture for construction in the 1950s and Lucia moved to the city when they married, but it was a loveless relationship and Tony was an unwanted child. The first family member to attend college, he dropped out and became a drifter.

Maria and Tony’s marriage played out in the 1980s, Brazil’s “lost decade” of debt crisis, inflation, and economic insecurity (see Vidal Luna and Klein 2014). The couple moved to São Paulo in search of work, sending Eduardo (born in 1981) to live with Isobel and Socrates. When Tony started a brick production in Derrota – “one in a series of harebrained schemes” – the family moved to a working class neighbourhood “on the other side of the tracks”, far from shops and schools, among warehouses and empty lots. Socrates’ death mid-decade left Isobel living on his pension and Maria supporting her own household on earnings from tutoring the children of
Socrates’ business contacts, who also arranged for Eduardo to receive a private school scholarship. Whenever money came in, Maria rushed to buy food before prices increased. The family eventually managed to move to a middle class neighbourhood, but Maria and Eduardo were evicted when Tony left, and moved in with Isobel.

Among Eduardo’s fondest memories of these years are the pre-carnaval samba school gatherings at Isobel’s house. “Grandma had all these people in her house, black people, aboriginal people, LGBTQ people, they were all there…She would give them food; they were like her kids.” Although he would also visit these friends in their homes in the poor and working class neighbourhoods, race was never discussed in his family. “So in my personal experience [race] was never an issue. I feel that there is an imported racial discourse in Brazil now that doesn’t really fit…everyone is mixed.”

At school, Eduardo was a “charity case”; “a pariah”. “I lived in one universe, but studied in another universe…People from school were traveling to Disney Land, filthy rich. It was interesting to see what they had access to and I didn’t…I wasn’t really friends with rich kids.” At least school gave him structure, with Maria working all the time and Tony gone for long stretches before disappearing altogether: “I don’t remember being very well taken care of”. And the bullying only worsened. Derrota is “a backwards country town…but I listened to Nirvana and read literature”.

My mom would do these jobs in São Paulo…She would take me with her and drop me off at a shopping mall and pick me up at night…I was like 11, 12 years old. So I grew up in [a bookstore]…and record stores…I would just spend the day in a shopping mall and eat McDonalds. I wouldn’t buy anything because I didn’t have the money. But sometimes I’d have the money to buy a CD or a book or to see a movie.

Maria also taught Eduardo about politics. “She took me to the…protest in Sé against the dictatorship” in 1984, when he was a toddler, that was part of the Diretas Já movement demanding direct presidential elections (see Rigue 2014). In 1992, Eduardo demonstrated in support of the impeachment for corruption of the first openly elected president since the military dictatorship, Fernando Collor de Mello (see Brooke 1992).

By the mid 1990s, the Washington Consensus-influenced Plano Real succeeded in lowering inflation and stabilizing the economy (see Vidal Luna and Klein 2014). Maria found a salaried job in another city that paid for rent in a middle class neighbourhood, fees at a new private school for Eduardo, and eventually his travel to spend a year with an aunt in New York. These were good years, though there was “no left over money at the end of the month”. When Maria moved back to Derrota to do contract work for the city, she was able to buy a lot in a middle class housing development, but “couldn’t afford bricks…so she’s a weirdo because she lives in a wooden house.” Eduardo finished high school in the public system, using the money that would have been spent on private school fees to attend a preparatory course for public university entrance exams.
Not making the public university cut, Eduardo began to study law at a private university in São Paulo in 2000. To help pay for tuition and living expenses, he taught English in locations across the city. He disliked law school and the other students and “because I was always in a razor blade between rich and poor, my sense of class, social conflict was always very clear, my sense of politics...I signed up to be a member of the Workers Party and went to the meetings.” A year later, he took the vestibular again and was admitted to social science at the public Universidade de São Paulo. “In 2001, for the first semester, I’m doing both universities at once and working. Thinking that all the rich people, all they do is study and go home and watch tv. And I’m barely staying afloat.”

Frustrated, he went to live with a Movimento dos Trabalhadores Rurais Sem Terra (Landless Workers’ Movement, MST) community, to work the fields, cook, and teach grammar and history. He heard stories about the community and its origins: camping on land next to a highway and terrorized by the landowner, eventually winning access to the land under the constitutional clause calling for the redistribution of unproductive plots. Realizing that “the revolution would need to come from below”, Eduardo decided to drop out of law school to focus on the social sciences and researching “revolutionary social change”.

When Lula was elected president in 2002, Eduardo “wanted to be part of what Lula meant to Brazil”. He began a prep course for a concurso público – a competitive selection process for the public service (see Cavalcante & Carvalho 2017) – with the idea of making a difference from within Lula’s administration.

2004…was the worst year of my life because I had four or five jobs at different schools, commuting, and then I would go to USP at night and prep school on Saturdays, and Sunday was the day to study…I’d eat only once a day at a subsidized restaurant at USP. I lost 50 pounds.

Maria’s income had decreased again, but she took Eduardo in when he hit rock bottom. A year later, “when I heard that the Ministry of Social Development is hiring, I decide to go for that. I had to take the exam in Brasilia, but couldn’t afford to go there” so he borrowed money from his girlfriend’s family. Making the level of technical advisor, Eduardo moved to Brasilia in 2006 to work for the Ministry of Social Development, which runs anti-poverty programs including the conditional cash transfer Bolsa Familia. He held several positions, including one as coordinator for the unionization of waste pickers.

I was going to the neighbourhoods next to the garbage dump…just imagine this valley and that’s where the dump is and all of these poorly constructed homes and dirt streets next to it...They were living there, they were working, just trying to stay afloat as best as they could.

Eduardo enjoyed his work and “I was rich...working for the government.” His final salary was almost 7,000 Reais (US$ 1,680) monthly. Because Maria’s fortunes improved again with the commodities boom of the mid 2000s, he could keep most of his salary for himself. This
allowed him a previously unknown standard of living, “able to support a [rented apartment] and buy food on the same paycheck…books, movie tickets, restaurant outings and, after having saved enough, a used car.”

**Income, Identity, and the Fluidity of the Middle Classes**

Eduardo grew up straddling class divides. Socrates provided Maria access to business elites, but his position was not enough to give her financial security. She moved between precarious self-employment and salaried work, her prospects fluctuating with the country’s economy. On Tony’s side, there was no family security. Eduardo was educated at private schools and a public university – hallmarks of traditional middle class prestige (Balbachevsky et al. 2019) – but his access and status were uncertain. His situation only stabilized once he was able to capitalize on his education during the expansion of the Lula years. Despite his years of economic insecurity and mobilization to improve the status of the poor, class and race prejudices are evident in Eduardo’s worldview. Socrates’ socio-economic position included a house on what Eduardo considers the right side of the tracks and Eduardo was educated with the traditional middle classes. His portrayal of the poorer carnaval folk as children to the charitable figure of Isobel and his denial of racial problems indicate a privileged position that reproduces blindness to injustice (see Caldeira 2000).

Brazil has historically been an unequal society, with low social mobility, a large and impoverished lower class, and small middle and upper classes (Hunter and Sugiyama 2009), but the conjuncture of the 2000s allowed 44.7 million Brazilians to move out of poverty and another 12.5 million to move into the traditional middle classes (Neri 2014). The shift arose as _Lulismo’s_ social democratic development policies combined with a global commodities boom to generate investor confidence and consumer spending. Lula continued the liberal economic policies of the 1990s but revamped redistributive policies, creating _Bolsa Familia_, increasing minimum wages, and expanding access to credit for the lower and middle classes. Low inflation rates made borrowing accessible and inspired loans designed to bring lower income sectors into the credit market and demand for consumer goods increased. Unemployment decreased by half and consumer borrowing as share of GDP doubled (Hoefel 2015; Sola 2008; Vidal Luna and Klein 2014).

By some economic measures, the middle classes expanded massively. The World Bank sets the developing world poverty line at US$2 daily per capita. The millions of Brazilians jumping this hurdle in the Lula period are sometimes labelled a “new middle class” (see Pochman 2012). Their income, and that of the millions more leaving poverty in the rest of the developing world, clustered just above US$2, decreasing immediate hardships but not vulnerability to poverty (Ravallion 2009).

Several researchers suggest higher poverty thresholds and identify a sector between poverty and traditional middle class security to describe the status of the non-poor. Birdsall et al. (2013) set the poverty line at US$4 daily per capita, doubling the $2 line around which income often fluctuates with societal or household context. The $4-$10 earner range of middle class
“strugglers” is more vulnerable to poverty-inducing crises (20%-40% probability over five years) than) “secure” middle class earners of $10-$50 (10% probability over five years). Earners of over $50 per day are considered “rich”. Secure middle class insulation from short-term economic volatility is due to healthcare, pensions, education, and home ownership (Birdsall 2015).

Neri (2014) also creates new class categories, but estimates even higher cut-offs because his analysis is restricted to Brazil (a middle income country) and his calculation accounts for more than income. It includes the productive assets (human, physical, social) of all household members because the current and permanent incomes of the resource-sharing nucleus characterize income sustainability. He adds assets such as non-monetary income (important for the poor) and physical and financial capital (important for the wealthy) to salaries to measure real income. The result is a poverty line of $5 and a “new middle class” line of $21 daily per capita.

Although poverty decreased in the 2000s, the large majority (87%) of Brazilians remained poor or vulnerable to poverty (Table 1). Most of the economic expansion took place in the service sector, followed by civil construction and extractive industries, and the great majority of new jobs opened in the low end of the pay scale (Table 2). While there was also an expansion of the wealthy sector making most of its income from property (land, rent, interest, profits), the middle income group stayed relatively stable. The Lula years had a bigger effect on the working than on the middle classes (Pochman 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Birdsall et al. (2013)</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Neri (2014)</th>
<th>% of Population**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily Per Capita</td>
<td>$50+</td>
<td>Class A–Traditional Middle Class</td>
<td>11,262+</td>
<td>$27+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income ($US)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monthly Household Income (Reais)</td>
<td>Daily Per Capita Income (US$)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$10–50</td>
<td>Class B–Traditional Middle Class</td>
<td>8,641–11,261</td>
<td>$21–27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Class</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strugglers</td>
<td>$4–10</td>
<td>Class C–New Middle Class</td>
<td>2,005–8,640</td>
<td>$5–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>$0–4</td>
<td>Class D–Poor</td>
<td>1,255–2,004</td>
<td>$3–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Class E–Very Poor</td>
<td>0–1,254</td>
<td>$0–3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Authors’ calculation using US$0.24 exchange rate, rounding, assuming months of 30.5 days and household size of 3.3.
** Authors’ calculation using Neri’s figures.
Table 2 – Income Sector Job Growth (Brazil)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Sectors</th>
<th>Change in Job Offer, 2000s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Majority of income from property</td>
<td>Expansion from 4% to 14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3 minimum monthly salaries</td>
<td>-400,000 jobs annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&gt;1,866R$ or US$448; &gt;US$14.69 daily)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5–3 minimum monthly salaries</td>
<td>+616,000 jobs annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(933 – 1,866R$ or US$224 – 448; US$7.34-14.69 daily)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 1.5 minimum monthly salaries</td>
<td>+2,000,000 jobs annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt; 933R$ or US$224; &lt;US$7.34 daily)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No wage (informal work)</td>
<td>-108,000 jobs annually</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table elaborated by authors with data from Pochman (2012), calculating amounts using the 2012 monthly minimum wage of 622 Reais and a US $0.24 exchange rate.

While we support the analysis underlying Neri’s income categories, we argue for the term “vulnerable” to identify class C, to clearly differentiate it from the traditional middle classes’ security and identity. Indeed, the traditional middle classes are different from the vulnerable for reasons beyond the financial security described above.

Sociological income category comparisons show that moving up the hierarchy means increasingly small, educated, and urbanized households, with women more likely employed, all working members more likely to be wage-earners than self-employed, and work more likely to be in health, education, or public services than in the primary sector (Ferreira et al. 2013). As their incomes increase, lower classes educate their children, add a level onto their self-constructed homes, and take vacations, but may not have enough to afford traditional middle class neighbourhoods and leisure activities (fieldnotes, 2017, 2018, 2020). They are no longer poor, but, from the perspective of the traditional middle classes, they are not not poor either.

As Eduardo’s case demonstrates, insecurities affect those vulnerable to falling back into poverty but also families with incomes and characteristics that sometimes place them in the traditional middle classes but cannot sustain them there. They may fall into poverty (see Birdsell 2015) or move between the middle classes and the vulnerable – as Maria and Eduardo. Despite Socrates’ status and Maria’s education, Maria’s work fluctuated between precarious and secure and there were moments of food scarcity. Even when there was enough money for private school and rent in a middle class neighbourhood, it was not enough to save. This illustrates the complexity of income generating activities. Forty to 63% (depending on the study) of Brazilians are active in some kind of informal work, and many of them use informal activity as Maria does: to bridge periods of, or add to the income from, formal work (Henley et al. 2009). Eduardo keenly felt the difference between himself and his securely established classmates: “I’ve never fallen into the abyss [of poverty], but I’m always looking at it”. At the same time, he has internalized the privileges of his race, education, and grandfather’s heritage.

Our understanding of class is based on indicators including income and education, but also on a construction of identity that involves rights, privileges, and prejudices. Class is “a dynamic process which is the site of political struggle” (Lawler 2005: 430). In the post-authoritarian era, the Brazilian lower classes have mobilized around their constitutional rights to
citizenship, dignity, work, and political representation, making these fundamental aspects of their identity. The traditional middle classes deny lower class aspirations by defining themselves through narratives of superiority in taste, respectability, critical thinking, merit (Lawler 2005), and race. They are privileged in their consumption of goods and services and in the resource security ensuring future consumption. They are also convinced of meriting their privilege, vis-à-vis undeserving, racialized lower classes. Merit is a cornerstone of the traditional middle classes’ ideology, with members believing that their privileges result from hard work, while lower class gains are political and, therefore, undeserved. Many frame poverty as a racial characteristic, denying the causal effect of slavery and post-slavery socio-economic structures (Lara and Barcelos 2020). Alongside economic incentives, these prejudices constrain the traditional middle classes’ openness to political alliances and sharing benefits with the lower classes.

**Eduardo and the New Right**

After two of what would be five years of employment in the Ministry of Social Development and of his newfound wealth, the global financial crisis hit and Eduardo realized that his socialism had been rooted as much in resentment of the rich as in love of the poor. *Atlas Shrugged* (Rand 1957) introduced him to individualism and laissez-faire capitalism and he began to ask,

questions that all the Marxist theory I’d read couldn’t answer…the idea that no mob has the right to take your money…Here I am in one of the biggest states in the world, in the ministry that’s the Robin Hood ministry, and I started seeing that most people working with me were militants and were not really qualified…These people, in charge of the state, can’t really do anything but discuss Marxism. So slowly I started questioning the Ministry. For example: ok, so we’re putting all of these people under our umbrella, can we start talking about an exit door now?…You’re supposed to give people Bolsa Familia for the time being, they’re supposed to get out of it, you can’t have people surviving out of welfare. They’re supposed to take care of themselves. But that’s not how our programs work.

This evaluation of the MDS is not supported by the literature, which emphasizes the high caliber of staff and expertise in program construction, especially the internationally acclaimed Bolsa Familia (e.g. Sugiyama and Hunter 2013). Nonetheless, Eduardo began to think that, in the post-dictatorship era and especially under the PT, the poor and vulnerable were brainwashed to believe in the existence of unjust hierarchies. One of his field journal entries, of a discussion with a former gang member, is emblematic in this regard.

Like many kids in the favela, [he] was recruited by the drug gangs…He lived in great poverty in a one-room shack with all his family. He would see all the gangbangers walking around with money in their pockets, gold on their fingers, and girls around their arms, and he wanted it…I have to confess that I
am always wary when I hear this old narrative that lack of opportunity breeds criminality…Brazil has been taken over by a cultural marxist ideology…it revolves around pulverizing the nation into victimized minorities, criticizing capitalism and corporate greed and polarizing the society between the enlightened “us” vs. the fascistic “them.” Considering the criminal marginalia of Brazil as freedom fighters…is one of the strongest trends of Brazilian cultural marxism…I believe that justifying criminality based on material need is not only disrespectful towards the majority of the population in the favelas who do not choose a life of crime, but also removes agency from the people who do choose to engage in such activities. Brazilian cultural marxism…has been incorporated into the national school curriculum to the point that the purpose of the teachers in Brazil has shifted: they do not teach math or grammar anymore, they promote “awareness about social conditions.”

Eduardo feels that similar thinking permeated the PT administration.

The Ministry was really interesting because it worked a lot like a cult. This is the soft-power ministry. It’s the ministry that’s subverting 500 years of poverty in Brazil. So all the idealists made their way there and they really operated like a cult. So no questions, everybody in sync, everybody with the same ideas…This was the night of the living dead, man. There was no dissension.

Although he felt unable to talk to anyone about his ideas, Eduardo now sees his experience in Brasilia as an awakening. He thinks that he grew up “wearing goggles…everything I saw was through Marxist interpretation” and that his studies amount to little more than “a degree in communism and revolutions” because the left has taken over Brazilian academia.

Eduardo argues that he was not alone in realizing the misguidedness of PT politics. “Now [2016] we have the worst economic crisis in Brazil…There is no more money to support all these programs. You need business to generate money. Communism doesn’t really work. Look, everybody’s poor.” He attended the August 2015 demonstration in São Paulo, with hundreds of thousands of people wearing the yellow and green colours of the Brazilian flag protesting corruption and the PT. “It’s powerful. You and a million people in Avenida Paulista, singing the national anthem. It’s something that you don’t forget.” Eduardo thinks that people have realized that state-sponsored inclusion has torn the country apart. “My theory is that extreme left-wing allegiance comes from some deep, internalized resentment. With me, I guess resentment came from that I used to study with the rich kids and I didn’t get to go to Disney and that I was…bullied by them….It’s misguided resentment.” He sees the anti-PT mobilizations as a “democratic development” expressing a “republican sentiment” among those who are tired of supporting people in “special categories”.

Although a new right coalesced around the 2018 election of Bolsonaro, Eduardo sees the President as a secondary character. When the movement began, “Bolsonaro wasn’t the same…he wasn’t at the forefront of this wave and he has eventually figured out how to surf successfully.
He inherited the anti-PT vote, right? He...was just the most successful in occupying that vacuum.” While there are elements of Bolsonaro’s politics that Eduardo opposes – “there is a lot of social conservativism...and I’m not necessarily on board with that”– he does support what he sees as efforts to diminish social conflict by eliminating special treatment of the lower classes.

**Disjunctive Democracy**

As Eduardo matured, he realized that he was not revolutionary but resentful of lacking wealth, and he turned from reading Marx to classical liberal thinkers. He had gay and black friends; was in favour of legal protections of racial, ethnic, gender, and sexual equality; and considered the poor to be honest people working hard to survive. He did not think that the welfare of the poor should be the state’s responsibility, but did not question the merit of his own publically funded university education or his well-paid job, with benefits, in the federal bureaucracy. The ambiguities in Eduardo’s positions reflect those of Brazilian society and democracy.

The traditional middle classes have historically championed citizenship rights when their interests aligned with those of the lower classes (Huber, Rueschemeyer, and Stephens 1993) but mobilized against the expansion of lower class rights to protect their property and privileges (Cárdenas et al. 2015; Fukuyama 2012a, 2012b). The exclusionary position of the traditional middle classes and the elites play into what Caldeira and Holston (1999) label disjunctive democracy. Brazilian democracy is marked by contradictions in which citizens enjoy free and fair elections and a constitution that protects their rights, but state institutions – bolstered by public opinion – do not protect the rights of the masses.

When Brazilian elites abolished slavery in 1888 and declared themselves a republic in 1889, they ensured their dominance through restrictive electoral rules, racist hiring, and repressive policing that barred the lower classes and non-whites from power (Lara and Barcelos 2020; Skidmore 2010). Industrialization, urbanization, and labour mobilization enabled the nationalist-populist era (1930-1964), during which a series of administrations established state responsibility for national economic development and social welfare. A new urban class structure included the informal sector, manual workers with formal salaries and benefits, a small sector of middle classes of professionals and bureaucrats, and an elite of business leaders usually emanating from landowning families. Traditionalist middle and upper classes fearful of lower classes increasingly claiming rights vilified nationalism and populism in the media but experienced only brief moments of success in forcing liberal policies until 1964, when the announcement of presidential decrees including land expropriation and oil refinery nationalization threatened their property rights and they united behind a military coup (Skidmore 2010; Bresser-Pereira 2017; Holston 2008).

The military technocratic regime (1964-1985) was paradoxical. It ruthlessly repressed its opponents and the fruits of its mixed liberal-developmentalist policy increased income and spatial inequalities, accruing to large landowners and the industrial center-south. But, it also oversaw the expansion of citizenship. Although party competition and electoral outcomes were
controlled, voter registration and participation increased. Mobilization for access to resources deemed non-political aspects of modern society was also permitted, allowing the poor living in the urban peripheries to expand their demands for rights to the city. By the 1980s, the increasingly confident lower classes set their sights on free and fair elections, joined by middle classes and elites wanting to appear modern on the international stage. Alongside military reformers, they brought about redemocratization (Skidmore 2010; Bresser-Pereira 2017; Holston 2008).

In the current democratic period, citizenship rights continue to evolve unevenly. Civil society successfully demanded guarantees of social and labour rights and participation in urban politics in the 1988 Constitution. However, land reform, opposed by the privileged, remained limited and human rights protections have been difficult to put into practice. Advances are clear in affirmative action and support of programs and NGOs, civil society organizations, and unions for groups marginalized based on gender, race, ethnicity, sex, work, and geography; improvements in life expectancy, child mortality, and access to clean water; participatory processes in urban politics and planning; among others. But inequalities remain stark. The gap in quality between public and private education and health care continues to grow. The lower classes know that the courts – which they can neither understand nor afford – are biased toward the wealthy. Police abuse and kill in low income communities to root out gang members, contributing to soaring crime and violence rates. A traumatized public – including the poor who bear the brunt of the abuse – supports harsh policing and vigilante justice, criminalizing poverty and race (Caldeira and Holston 1999; Skidmore 2010; Hilgers and Macdonald 2017). At the same time, continuing current accounts deficits restrict available funding for long-term development programs that could help the lower classes to gain economic stability. Economic downturns (and inflation) quickly affect the lower classes because they operate in cash and have no reserves (Bresser-Pereira 2017; Skidmore 2010).

A good number of advances were made under the PT in power, but the party’s promises to change the structure of Brazilian society and politics failed and eventually resulted in its demise. When students protesting public transit fare hikes in 2013 met with violent police oppression, the middle classes took to the streets in anger against the general context of politics-as-usual in the midst of public administration failures in security, health care, education, and transportation. Lula’s successor, Dilma Rousseff, managed to win another election, but faced economic crisis. Commodity demands had declined after the world financial crisis and financial security, spending, and growth became unsustainable. When the economy collapsed and a massive corruption scandal broke in the media in 2014, PT support crashed. While 52% of Brazilians had been satisfied “with things in Brazil today” in 2011, by 2014 72% were dissatisfied (Pew 2014). Mass demonstrations ensued in 2015 and 2016, with 65% of Brazilians favouring an impeachment process (Datafolha 2015). Brazilian politics was notoriously corrupt, the PT was caught playing the game, and the public felt betrayed. For the first time, corruption topped health care, education, and employment in the public’s listing of the country’s problems (Datafolha 2015). The public’s anger focused on politics-as-usual (Taylor 2020), merging into a
heterogeneous new right that would eventually support the 2018 election of Jair Bolsonaro (Saad-Filho and Boffo 2020).

Beyond corruption, reasons for discontent among the new right varied. The vulnerable and lower middle classes feared falling into poverty. In 2016, 68% of Brazilians expected to lose their jobs, 49% judged their income insufficient to maintain living standards (up from 35% in 2013; Latinobarómetro 2013, 2016), many had difficulty repaying credit (Neri 2015; Trinkunas and Penfold 2016), and they blamed the PT (Singer 2018). The traditional middle classes had been uneasy with the costs and effects of PT social policy. Their privileges were threatened by changes such as labour rights for informal workers that not only shrank the pool of exploitable labour, but also gave workers the financial resources to consume in previously reserved spaces (Singer 2018; Saad-Filho and Boito 2016; Cavalcante 2015). As much as the middle classes may claim support for policies such as affirmative action in the abstract (e.g. Vidigal 2018), their reaction to poverty and race penetrating their spaces is clear.

Eduardo and Discrimination

Eduardo has ambivalent feelings about the struggles of the lower classes. In the first entry of his field journal, he describes his thoughts about going to a favela complex:

Today I had my first foray into [the favela]. I have to confess that I was freaking out a little bit before going there. You know, favelas are mired by the stigma of criminality and violence, and as a member of the white middlish class in Brazil, I have to reluctantly confess that I subscribe to that narrative. I mean, why wouldn’t I? I’m sure it’s true that most of the inhabitants of favelas are hardworking upstanding citizens who just happened to draw the short end of the stick in living in an underdeveloped nation. But it is also true that the amount of criminals per capita is much higher in a place such as [the favela] when compared to the majority of other places in the country. It is, therefore, reasonable to assume that [the favela] is not the safest of places for one to be. So, since I had no intention of joining the statistics, I needed to come up with a contingency plan. I studied the maps and my path like crazy so that I wouldn’t look so lost walking around the favela. I also decided to leave my phone and wallet at home and to hide some change inside my shoes just in case I needed some extra funds for the bus back. So, armed with an ID, a bus pass, and my notebook, I started making my way to the favela…. [The street leading into the favela] is sided by tall constructions of three to four floors, which, combined with the steep hill, gives you the clear impression of being engulfed by the favela. Even the sun darkens a little as you enter.

Adding to his justification of these feelings, Eduardo says, “just to illustrate, [the television series set in the favela] is the sole reason that a lot of my friends, who wouldn’t get caught dead inside a favela, think that it is ‘pretty cool’ that I am doing research in [the favela]”.
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Although Eduardo came to feel relatively safe in the favela’s busy commercial district, he says that the social conflict created by the rise of wealthier favela inhabitants – the vulnerable class – bothers him.

There’s an old middle class in Brazil. These people are usually white, usually privileged, and they have privileged spaces…in society. So, they…have shopping malls. It’s not that the malls are blocked off for other people, it’s just that other people don’t go there because they know it’s not their place…during the Lula years, there’s a new middle class that emerges. These people used to be dirt poor…But now they have access to things that they never had.

These people who grew up with this narrative that they’ve been stepped upon for 500 years, suddenly they feel empowered and they want some recognition…The new middle class, these people, whether they have money or not, to consume the products in the special, rich people’s malls, they go there, and they walk and they party there and they go around. They want access to the space.

A few years ago…there was rolezinho [little stroll / excursion]…A bunch of kids who watch tv, who see the products, kids who belong to funk culture, they went to this shopping mall and there was a problem because there were like 300 of them at the same time. These kids who come from the favela, who dress like they come from the favela, coming into a mall, singing funk songs. So what happened was that the Brazilian SWAT team was called, and they were beaten out of there. There are two sides of the story. Yes, they shouldn’t be beaten out of there, but at the same time, there were 300 people at the same time in a shopping mall, singing loudly. And honestly, they don’t really know how to behave in these public spaces, because they’ve never been part of it, so it’s not like it was unjustified to kick them out. But at the same time you don’t call the SWAT team to do it…A lot of people thought they had it coming. In many ways they had it coming.

Eduardo thinks that demands for better services are legitimate. For instance, he says that law enforcement has a clear class dimension, where “poor people get the stick and rich people get ushered into places”. But he is critical of how demands have been made. Both in his field journal and in his interviews, he highlights the difference between what he terms upper class, conservative mobilization and left-wing mobilization, describing the former as rational and orderly and the latter as “burning down the city”.

**Middle Class Identity**

The traditional middle classes fear not only the erosion of economic privilege, but also contact with the ‘other’ (Lawler 2005). Members recognize that poverty should be combatted, but do not want this to affect their way of life. Urban space is highly segregated, with the traditional middle classes and elites considering it their right to live, work, and play in reserved territories. Their single family homes, high rise condominiums, schools, and sporting clubs hide behind walls to shut out those who do not belong, and the shopping districts and restaurants they
frequent are all formally or informally exclusive. Poverty, inequality, and insecurity are concentrated in inner city and peripheral territories that have inadequate schools, health care facilities, public transportation and infrastructure, and leisure installations (Caldeira 2000; fieldnotes 2017, 2018, 2020). When the lower classes demand access to traditional middle class space, the latter balk.

The citizenship rights of democratization combined with the social and economic policies of the Lula governments and the commodities boom empowered the vulnerable to access traditional middle class spaces, but they have been met with prejudice. As the vulnerable asserted their presence, the middle classes tended towards “attitudes of non-negotiation” and a “generalized climate of fear,” criminalizing poverty and supporting police violence against perceived public danger (Holston 2009, 261-262). Fear is a familiar trope in the media and politics and shapes the privileged Brazilian’s experience of the favela (Sul de Minas 2013; R7 2015). The traditional middle classes view favela residents as uncivilized and criminal and the newly non-poor as “getting above themselves”, generating a sense of hostility (Anderson 2011; Saad-Filho and Boito 2016).

The *rolezinho* is telling in its manifestation of a demand for access to traditional middle class spaces of consumption that is the shopping mall, and for the resulting backlash. The first *rolezinho* occurred in São Paulo, in December 2013, and spread across the country, with each outing involving from dozens to thousands of (often black) youth. Youth from poor neighbourhoods used social media to organize gatherings in malls, where they would hang out and sing funk songs with lyrics about having money and name-brand consumer products (Pereira 2014). The response to these “incursions” into privileged space was fear and violence (da Silva and da Silva 2014). Mall and store doors were locked, while private security and police – including special forces (Pereira 2014) – armed with tear gas and rubber bullets faced off against the kids, often deciding who could enter the malls based on race (Assis 2014). Businesses took the issue to court, attempting to gain legal support for barring access to their establishments (Severi and Sanchez 2015).

Whether in their response to the *rolezinhos* or through explanations for the increasing walling in of their homes and spaces of leisure, consumption, and business (see Caldeira 2000), the traditional middle classes identify reason and refined culture in manifesting their superiority to, and right to separateness from, the lower classes (O’Dougherty 2002; Lawler 2005). “A good part of the middle-class experience seems to be immaterial, a state of mind” (O’Dougherty 2002, ebook location 289) that binds its members together in an agreement that they are not like the poor and the vulnerable.

While Eduardo is not immune to the struggles of the lower classes or to the racism that suffuses service refusal and police violence, he does have strong ideas about how equality should be approached and about the value of class culture. He supports the civil rights and liberties embodied in the negative rights of legal protections guaranteeing every individual’s freedom to act, but not the positive rights of government policies supporting socio-economic inclusion (see Berlin 2002). And he believes that, to share the spaces traditionally reserved for the middle
classes, one has to know how to behave. This sentiment is widespread in the new right, and often articulated more harshly than by Eduardo. The traditional middle classes that have mobilized as part of the new right fear the invasion of their working, living, and leisure spaces by the newly empowered poor, black, women, and LGBTQ+ (see Messenberg 2017). Paradoxically, good parts of the vulnerable class vote for politicians representing these fears, afraid of weakening their own newly acquired privileges through solidarity with the poor.

**Conclusion**

This accidental biographic ethnography has traced Eduardo’s history and socio-political perspectives with the aim of illustrating class tensions in Brazil. Using a combination of economic, sociological, and cultural factors to construct an understanding of the middle classes, we have argued that there is no “new middle class” but rather an expanded vulnerable class, and that the traditional middle classes are sometimes willing to support the lower classes’ demands for rights, as long as these do not encroach on middle class privileges. These tensions have been a regular pattern across Brazilian history, challenging the assumption that democracy inevitably grows alongside middle class expansion (see Rueschemeyer, Stephens, and Stephens 1992; Chen and Lu 2011).

Eduardo eventually felt so alienated and fearful of future economic insecurity that he decided to emigrate. He chose Canada as a destination and took two years to save for the immigration application, travel costs, and a couple of months of living expenses. He also applied to a university liberal arts program to study liberal thinkers. Unable to find work once in Canada, he lived in a “shitty” inner city apartment and applied for a student loan. He eventually found a tutoring job that he held throughout his BA. Upon graduating, he was offered a fully funded position in an American PhD program, but dropped out after a year, at odds with the faculty’s critical perspective on class relations. He is now working for the government of Canada and says, “I moved to Canada because I want my kids to live in affluence. Period.”
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i This article is a product of Concordia University’s Lab for Latin American and Caribbean Studies. We would like to thank Lab members and three anonymous reviewers for comments. The field journal prompting our reflections was part of a project generously funded by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada.

ii Eduardo’s name is fictive and he chose the pseudonyms for his family members and hometown.

iii See Gay y Blasco (2017) and Rodgers (2019) for reflections on researcher-participant interactions.

iv The RA contract for which Eduardo produced the field journal ended six months prior to the beginning of this project, by which time he was employed outside of academia.

v The traditionally favoured higher education choices in Brazil have been medicine, law, and engineering, and – more recently – social sciences. While there are some highly ranked private universities, private institutions largely cater “to children from low-income families in search of
social mobility” (Balbachevsky et al. 2019, 11) who did not succeed in the competitive public university entrance exams (vestibulares).

vi See http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/constituicao/constituicao.htm, Title VII, Chapter III.

vii See http://mds.gov.br/assuntos/bolsa-familia


ix For middle class perceptions of merit, see O’Dougherty 2002; Cavalcante 2015. See also the experiences of consumption and privilege (or their lack) in the vignettes and life stories of poor, working class, and middle class families in Ferreira et al. 2013, 15-17; Lewis 1959; Gilbert 2007; also fieldnotes 2017, 2018, 2020).

x Using the calculations in Table 1 for Eduardo’s eventual salary of 7,000 Reais, not divided among dependents, Eduardo earned US$55 per day, making him “rich”. In comparison with his past experience, he did feel rich, although he could only afford rent on a small apartment and had to save for a used car.

xi Brazilian economic growth contracted from 5.2% in 2008 to -0.3% in 2009, briefly bouncing back to 7.5% in 2010, before a longer recession set in (Posner, Patroni, and Mayer 2018).

xii Eduardo says “someone famous said that”. It is from Orwell’s The Road to Wigan Pier.

xiii This is the position of the “school without politics” movement against critical thinking about economic, civil, and gender inequalities, which it criticizes as PT “cultural marxism” (Miguel 2016).

xiv Estimates of São Paulo protest participation vary from 210,000 to 1,000,000 in March 2015 and 135,000 to 350,000 in August 2015 (Folha 2015a; 2015b).

xv See O’Dougherty (2002) for a discussion of the importance of consumption to the middle class.